

National Drug Early Warning System (NDEWS) Sentinel Community Site (SCS) Drug Use Patterns and Trends, 2016: Overview and Limitations About Data Sources

The *Overview and Limitations About Data Sources*, written by Coordinating Center staff, provides a summary and a detailed description of the limitations of some of the national data sources used this report, including indicators of substance use, treatment, consequences, and availability.

Area Description Indicators

American Community Survey (ACS): Population Estimates, by Demographic and Socioeconomic Characteristics

Overview and Limitations

Data on demographic, social, and economic characteristics are based on 2010–2014 American Community Survey (ACS) 5-Year Estimates. The U.S. Census Bureau’s ACS is a nationwide survey designed to provide communities with reliable and timely demographic, social, economic, and housing data on an annual basis. Although the main function of the decennial census is to provide counts of people for the purpose of congressional apportionment and legislative redistricting, the primary purpose of the ACS is to measure the changing social and economic characteristics of the U.S. population. As a result, the ACS does not provide official counts of the population in between censuses. Instead, the Census Bureau’s Population Estimates Program will continue to be the official source for annual population totals, by age, race, Hispanic origin, and sex.^a

The ACS selects approximately 3.5 million housing unit addresses from every county across the nation to survey. Data are based on a sample and are subject to sampling variability. The degree of uncertainty for an estimate arising from sampling variability is represented through the use of a margin of error (MOE). The values shown in the table are the margin of errors. The MOE can be interpreted roughly as providing a 90% probability that the interval defined by the estimate minus the MOE and the estimate plus the MOE (the lower and upper confidence bounds) contains the true value.^a

Sources

Data Sources: Adapted by the NDEWS Coordinating Center from data from the American Community Survey; *2010–2014 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates*; Tables DP02, DP03, and DP05; using American FactFinder; <http://factfinder2.census.gov>; Accessed on [5/24/2016]; U.S. Census Bureau.

Overview/Methods/Limitations Sources: ^aAdapted by the NDEWS Coordinating Center from U.S. Census Bureau, *A Compass for Understanding and Using American Community Survey Data: What General Data Users Need to Know*. U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC, 2008. Available at: <https://www.census.gov/library/publications/2008/acs/general.html>

Substance Use Indicators

National Survey on Drug Use and Health (NSDUH): Substance Use Among Population 12 Years or Older

Overview and Limitations

NSDUH is an ongoing survey of the civilian, noninstitutionalized population of the United States aged 12 years or older that is planned and managed by the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Administration's (SAMHSA) Center for Behavioral Health Statistics and Quality (CBHSQ). Data is collected from individuals residing in households, noninstitutionalized group quarters (e.g., shelters, rooming houses, dormitories) and civilians living on military bases. In 2012–2014, NSDUH collected data from 204,048 respondents aged 12 years or older; this sample was designed to obtain representative samples from the 50 states and the District of Columbia.^a

The **substate estimates** are derived from a hierarchical Bayes model-based small area estimation procedure in which 2012–2014 NSDUH data at the substate level are combined with local area county and census block group/tract-level data from the area to provide more precise estimates of substance use and mental health outcomes. [See [2012–2014 NSDUH Methods Report](#) for more information about the methodology used to generate substate estimates]. Comparable estimates derived from the small area estimation procedure were also produced for the 50 states and the District of Columbia. We present these estimates for Maine and Texas. Because these data are based on 3 consecutive years of data, they are not directly comparable with the annually published state estimates that are based on only 2 consecutive years of NSDUH data.^a

Substate regions were defined by officials from each of the 50 states and the District of Columbia and were typically based on the treatment planning regions specified by the states in their applications for the Substance Abuse Prevention and Treatment Block Grant (SABG) administered by SAMHSA. There has been extensive variation in the size and use of substate regions across states. In some states, the substate regions have been used more for administrative purposes than for planning purposes. The goal of the project was to provide substate-level estimates showing the geographic distribution of substance use prevalence for regions that states would find useful for planning and reporting purposes. The final substate region boundaries were based on the state's recommendations, assuming that the NSDUH sample sizes were large enough to provide estimates with adequate precision. Most states defined regions in terms of counties but some defined them in terms of census tracts. Estimates for 384 substate regions were generated using the 2012–2014 NSDUH data. Substate regions used for each SCS are defined in the Notes sections of Tables 2a and 2b.^a

Notes about Data Terms

Estimated percentages are based on a survey-weighted hierarchical Bayes estimation approach, and the 95% prediction (credible) intervals are generated by Markov Carlo techniques.

95% Confidence Interval (CI) provides a measure of the accuracy of the estimate. It defines the range within which the true value can be expected to fall 95% of the time.

Estimated # is the estimated number of persons aged 12 years or older who used the specified drug or are dependent on/abuse a substance; the estimated number of persons using/dependent on a particular drug was calculated by multiplying the prevalence rate and the population estimate from Table C1 of the NSDUH report.

The population estimate is the simple average of the 2012, 2013, and 2014 population counts for persons aged 12 years or older.

Binge Alcohol is defined as drinking five or more drinks on the same occasion on at least 1 day in the past 30 days.

Use of Illicit Drug Other Than Marijuana is defined as any illicit drug other than marijuana and includes cocaine (including crack), heroin, hallucinogens, inhalants, or any prescription-type psychotherapeutic used nonmedically.

Substance Use Disorder in Past Year: Persons are classified as having a substance use disorder in the past 12 months based on responses to questions that meet the criteria specified in the 4th edition of the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders* (DSM-IV).

Sources

Data Sources: Adapted by the NDEWS Coordinating Center from data provided by the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA), *Substate Estimates of Substance Use and Mental Disorders from the 2012–2014 National Surveys on Drug Use and Health: Results and Detailed Tables*. Rockville, MD. 2014. Available at: <http://www.samhsa.gov/data/population-data-nsduh/reports?tab=38>; Accessed on [8/5/2016].

Overview/Methods/Limitations Sources: ^aAdapted by the NDEWS Coordinating Center from Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA), *2012–2014 National Surveys on Drug Use and Health: Guide to Substate Tables and Summary of Small Area Estimation Methodology*. Rockville, MD 2016. Available at: <http://www.samhsa.gov/data/sites/default/files/NSDUHsubstateMethodology2014/NSDUHsubstateMethodology2014.html>; Accessed on [8/5/2016].

Youth Risk Behavioral Survey (YRBS): Substance Use Among Student Populations

Overview and Limitations

The Youth Risk Behavior Surveillance System (YRBSS) was designed to enable public health professionals, educators, policy makers, and researchers to 1) describe the prevalence of health-risk behaviors among youths, 2) assess trends in health-risk behaviors over time, and 3) evaluate and improve health-related policies and programs. YRBSS also was developed to provide comparable national, State, territorial, and large urban school district data as well as comparable data among subpopulations of youths (e.g., racial/ethnic subgroups) and to monitor progress toward achieving national health objectives. The YRBSS monitors six categories of priority health risk behaviors among youth and young adults: 1) behaviors that contribute to unintentional injuries and violence; 2) tobacco use; 3) alcohol and other drug use; 4) sexual behaviors that contribute to unintended pregnancy and sexually transmitted infections; 5) unhealthy dietary behaviors; and 6) physical inactivity.^a We have included selected drug and alcohol survey questions from the YRBSS.

One component of the Surveillance System is the school-based Youth Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS) which includes representative samples of high school students in the nation, States, tribes, and select large urban school district across the country. The ongoing surveys are conducted biennially; each cycle begins in July of the preceding even-numbered year (e.g., in 2010 for the 2011 cycle) when the questionnaire for the upcoming year is released and continues until the data are published in June of the following even-numbered year (e.g., in 2012 for the 2011 cycle).^a

For States and large urban school districts, the YRBSs are administered by State and local education or health agencies. Each State, territorial, tribal, and large urban school district YRBS employs a two-stage, cluster sample design to produce a representative sample of students in grades 9–12 in its jurisdiction. All the data presented in these tables are based on weighted data. Weighted results are representative of all students in grades 9–12 attending public schools in each jurisdiction. According to CDC, “weighted results mean that the overall response rate was at least 60%. The overall response rate is calculated by multiplying the school response rate times the student response rate.”^a

Limitations. All YRBS data are self-reported, and the extent of underreporting or overreporting of behaviors cannot be determined, although there have been studies that demonstrate that the data are of acceptable quality.

The data apply only to youths who attend school and, therefore, are not representative of all persons in this age group. Nationwide, in 2009, approximately 4% of persons aged 16–17 years were not enrolled in a high-school program and had not completed high school.^b The NHIS and Youth Risk Behavior Supplement conducted in 1992 demonstrated that out-of-school youths are more likely than youths attending school to engage in the majority of health-risk behaviors.^c

Local parental permission procedures are not consistent across school-based survey sites. However, in a 2004 study, the CDC demonstrated that the type of parental permission typically does not affect prevalence estimates as long as student response rates remain high.^d

Notes about Data Terms

Binge Alcohol use is defined as having five or more drinks of alcohol in a row within a couple of hours on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey.

Sources

Data Sources: Adapted by the NDEWS Coordinating Center from data provided by Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), 1991–2013 High School Youth Risk Behavior Survey Data. Available at <http://nccd.cdc.gov/youthonline/>. Accessed on [3/12/2015].

Overview/Methods/Limitations Sources: Adapted by the NDEWS Coordinating Center from:

^a*Methodology of the Youth Risk Behavior Surveillance System— 2013 Report* in the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) *March 1, 2013 Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report (MMWR)*; 62(1). Available at <http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/pdf/rr/rr6201.pdf>. Accessed on [4/10/2015].

^bChapman C, Laird J, Ifill N, KewalRamani A. Trends in high school dropout and completion rates in the United States: 1972–2009 (NCES 2012–006). Available at <http://nces.ed.gov/pubs2012/2012006.pdf>. Accessed on [2/11/2013].

^cCDC. Health risk behaviors among adolescents who do and do not attend school—United States, 1992. *MMWR* 1994;43:129–32.

^dEaton DK, Lowry R, Brener ND, Grunbaum JA, Kann L. Passive versus active parental permission in school-based survey research: does type of permission affect prevalence estimates of self-reported risk behaviors? *Evaluation Review* 2004;28:564–77.

Treatment for Substance Use Disorders

Treatment Admissions Data from Local Data Sources

Overview and Limitations

Drug treatment admissions data provide indicators of the health consequences of substance misuse and their impact on the treatment system.^a Treatment admissions data can provide some indication of the types of drugs being used in geographic areas and can show patterns of use over time. However, it is important to note that treatment data only represent use patterns of individuals entering treatment programs and the availability of particular types of treatment in a geographic area will also influence the types of drugs being reported. Also, most sites report only on admissions to publicly funded treatment programs; thus, information on individuals entering private treatment programs may not be represented by the data. It should also be noted that each admission does not necessarily represent a unique individual because some individuals are admitted to treatment more than once in a given period.^b

Treatment admissions data are made available to the NDEWS Coordinating Center by the NDEWS Sentinel Community Epidemiologist for each SCS. Calendar year 2015 treatment admissions data were available for 10 of 12 SCSs. Calendar Year 2015 data were not available for the Chicago Metro SCS; Fiscal Year 2015 for Chicago (not entire Chicago metro area) is provided. No treatment data for the Atlanta Metro SCS was available for 2015. See below for site-specific information about the data.

Site-Specific Notes about 2015 Treatment Data and Sources of the Data

❖ **Atlanta Metro**

Data Availability: Calendar year 2015 treatment data are not available for the Atlanta Metro SCS.

Catchment Area: Includes residents of: Barrow, Bartow, Butts, Carroll, Cherokee, Clayton, Cobb, Coweta, Dawson, DeKalb, Douglas, Fayette, Forsyth, Fulton, Gwinnett, Haralson, Heard, Henry, Jasper, Lamar, Meriwether, Morgan, Newton, Paulding, Pickents, Pike, Rockdale, Spalding, and Walton counties.

Notes & Definitions:

Admissions: includes admissions to publicly-funded programs.

Marijuana/Synthetic Cannabinoids: the data do not differentiate between marijuana and synthetic cannabinoids.

Source: Data provided to the Atlanta Metro NDEWS SCE by the Georgia Department of Human Resources.

❖ **Chicago Metro**

Data Availability: Only fiscal year data are available at this time.

Catchment Area: Data were only available for residents of Chicago, not for the entire Chicago MSA.

Notes & Definitions:

Admissions: Includes admissions to publicly funded programs. Each admission does not necessarily represent a unique individual because some individuals are admitted to treatment more than once in a given period.

Declines in overall treatment admissions are due to several factors, including budget cuts and changes in providers and payers that affect the reporting of these data (e.g., the expansion of Medicaid under the ACA to cover some forms of drug treatment).

Prescription Opioids: Includes oxycodone/hydrocodone, nonprescription methadone, and other opiates.

Source: Data provided to the NDEWS Chicago SCE by the Illinois Department of Substance Use.

❖ **Denver Metro**

Catchment Area: Includes admissions data for residents of Adams, Arapahoe, Boulder, Broomfield, Clear Creek, Denver, Douglas, Gilpin, and Jefferson counties.

Notes & Definitions:

Admissions: Includes admissions to all Colorado alcohol and drug treatment agencies licensed by the Colorado Department of Human Services, Office of Behavioral Health (OBH). Each admission does not necessarily represent a unique individual because some individuals are admitted to treatment more than once in a given period.

Prescription Opioids: Includes nonprescription methadone and other opiates and synthetic opiates.

MDMA: Coded as “club drugs,” which are mostly MDMA.

Other Drugs/Unknown: Includes inhalants, over-the-counter, and other drugs not specified.

Source: Data provided to the Denver Metro NDEWS SCE by the Colorado Department of Human Services, Office of Behavioral Health (OBH), Drug/Alcohol Coordinated Data System (DACODS).

❖ **King County (Seattle Area)**

Notes & Definitions:

Admissions: Includes admissions to all modalities of care in publicly funded programs. Each admission does not necessarily represent a unique individual because some individuals are admitted to treatment more than once in a given period.

Prescription Opioids: Includes oxycodone/hydrocodone, nonprescription methadone, and other opiates.

Source: Data provided to the King County (Seattle Area) NDEWS SCE by the Washington State Department of Social and Health Services (DSHS), Division Behavioral Health and Recovery, Treatment Report and Generation Tool (TARGET).

❖ Los Angeles County

Notes & Definitions:

Admissions: Includes all admissions to programs receiving any public funds or to programs providing narcotic replacement therapy, as reported to the California Outcomes Monitoring System (CalOMS). An admission is counted only after all screening, intake, and assessment processes have been completed, and all of the following have occurred: 1) the provider has determined that the client meets the program admission criteria; 2) if applicable, the client has given consent for treatment/recovery services; 3) an individual recovery or treatment plan has been started; 4) a client file has been opened; 5) the client has received his/her first direct recovery service in the facility and is expected to continue participating in program activities; and 6) in methadone programs, the client has received his/her first dose. Each admission does not necessarily represent a unique individual because some individuals are admitted to treatment more than once in a given period.

Prescription Opioids: Includes drug categories labeled “oxycodone/OxyContin” and “other opiates or synthetics.”

Source: Data provided to the Los Angeles NDEWS SCE by the California Department of Health Care Services, Mental Health Services Division, Office of Applied Research and Analysis, CalOMS (2013 and 2014 data) and the California Department of Drug and Alcohol Programs (2011 and 2012 data).

❖ Maine

Notes & Definitions:

Admissions: includes all admissions to programs receiving State funding.

Source: Data provided to the Maine NDEWS SCE by the Maine Office of Substance Abuse.

❖ New York City

Notes & Definitions:

Non-Crisis Admissions: Includes non-crisis admissions to outpatient, inpatient, residential, and methadone maintenance treatment programs licensed in the state.

Crisis Admissions: Includes detox admissions to all licensed treatment programs in the state. Each admission does not necessarily represent a unique individual because some individuals are admitted to treatment more than once in a given period.

Prescription Opioids: Includes nonprescription methadone, buprenorphine, other synthetic opiates, and OxyContin.

Benzodiazepines: Includes benzodiazepines, alprazolam, and rohypnol.

Synthetic Stimulants: Includes other stimulants and a newly created category, synthetic stimulants (created in 2014).

Source: Data provided to the New York City NDEWS SCE by the New York State Office of Alcoholism and Substance Abuse Services (OASAS), Client Data System accessed May 2016 from Local Governmental Unit (LGU) Inquiry Reports.

❖ Philadelphia

Notes & Definitions:

Admissions: Includes admissions for uninsured and underinsured individuals admitted to any licensed treatment programs funded through the Philadelphia Department of Behavioral Health and Intellectual disAbility Services (DBHIDS). Each admission does not necessarily represent a unique individual because some individuals are admitted to treatment more than once in a given period.

2015 Data: Pennsylvania expanded Medicaid coverage under the Affordable Care Act and more than 100,000 additional individuals became eligible in 2015. As individuals who historically have been uninsured become insured, the number of individuals served through the BHSI (Behavioral Health Special Initiative) program has declined; thus treatment admissions reported by BHSI declined from 8,363 in 2014 to 4,810 in 2015. However, similar patterns of substance use were observed among those seeking treatment in 2014 and in 2015.

Methamphetamine: Includes both amphetamines and methamphetamine.

Other Drugs: May include synthetics, barbiturates, and over-the-counter drugs. Synthetic Stimulants and Synthetic Cannabinoids are not distinguishable from “Other Drugs” in the reporting source.

Source: Data provided to the Philadelphia NDEWS SCE by the Philadelphia Department of Behavioral Health and Intellectual disAbility Services (DBHIDS), Office of Addiction Services, Behavioral Health Special Initiative.

❖ San Francisco County

Notes & Definitions

Admissions: Each admission does not necessarily represent a unique individual because some individuals are admitted to treatment more than once in a given period.

Source: Data provided to the San Francisco NDEWS SCE by the San Francisco Department of Public Health, Community Behavioral Health Services Division.

❖ Southeastern Florida (Miami Area)

Catchment Area: Includes the three counties of the Miami MSA—Broward, Miami-Dade, and Palm Beach counties.

Notes & Definitions:

Admissions: Includes all admissions to programs receiving any public funds. Each admission does not necessarily represent a unique individual because some individuals are admitted to treatment more than once in a given period.

2011–2013: Data for Palm Beach County is not available for 2011-2013, therefore, 2011–2013 only includes data for Broward and Miami-Dade counties.

Source: Data provided to the Southeastern Florida NDEWS SCE by the Florida Department of Children and Families and the Broward Behavioral Health Coalition.

❖ Texas

Notes & Definitions:

Admissions: Includes all admissions reported to the Clinical Management for Behavioral Health Services (CMBHS) of the Department of State Health Services (DSHS). Each admission does not necessarily represent a unique individual because some individuals are admitted to treatment more than once in a given period.

Methamphetamine: Includes amphetamines and methamphetamine.

Synthetic Cannabinoids: DSHS collects data on “other Cannabinoids,” which may not include all the synthetic cannabinoids.

Females: Calculated using formula “1 minus Male %.”

Source: Data provided to the Texas NDEWS SCE by the Texas Department of State Health Services (DSHS).

❖ Wayne County (Detroit Area)

Notes & Definitions:

Admissions: Admissions whose treatment was covered by Medicaid or Block Grant funds; excludes admissions covered by private insurance, treatment paid for in cash, and admissions funded by the Michigan Department of Corrections. Each admission does not necessarily represent a unique individual because some individuals are admitted to treatment more than once in a given period.

Synthetic Stimulants: Includes amphetamines and synthetic stimulants; data suppressed to protect confidentiality.

Source: Data provided to the Wayne County (Detroit Area) NDEWS SCE by the Michigan Department of Health and Human Services, Bureau of Behavioral Health and Developmental Disabilities, Division of Quality Management and Planning, Performance Measurement and Evaluation Section.

Sources

Data Sources: Adapted by the NDEWS Coordinating Center from data provided by NDEWS SCEs listed above.

Overview/Methods/Limitations Sources: Adapted by the NDEWS Coordinating Center from:

^aNational Institute on Drug Abuse; National Institutes of Health; U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, *Assessing Drug Abuse Within and Across Communities, 2nd Edition*. 2006. Available at: <https://www.drugabuse.gov/publications/assessing-drug-abuse-within-across-communities>

^bNational Institute on Drug Abuse; National Institutes of Health; U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, *Epidemiologic Trends in Drug Abuse, Proceedings of the Community Epidemiology Work Group, Highlights and Executive Summary, June 2014*. Available at: <https://www.drugabuse.gov/sites/default/files/cewgjune2014.pdf>

Consequences of Drug Use Indicators

Drug Overdose (Poisoning) Deaths

Overview and Limitations

The multiple cause-of-death mortality files from the National Vital Statistics System (NVSS) (queried from the CDC WONDER Online Database) were used to identify drug overdose (poisoning) deaths. Mortality data are based on information from all death certificates for U.S. residents filed in the 50 states and the District of Columbia. Deaths of nonresidents and fetal deaths are excluded. The death certificates are either 1) coded by the states or provided to the CDC's National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS) through the Vital Statistics Cooperative Program; or 2) coded by NCHS from copies of the original death certificates provided to NCHS by the respective state registration office. Each death certificate contains a single underlying cause of death, up to 20 additional multiple causes, and demographic data.¹ ([Click here for more information about CDC WONDER Multiple Cause of Death data](#))

The drug-specific poisoning deaths presented in the 2016 National Drug Early Warning System (NDEWS) reports are deaths that have been certified "as due to acute exposure to a drug, either alone or in combination with other drugs or other substances" (Goldberger, Maxwell, Campbell, & Wilford, p. 234)² and are identified by using the World Health Organization's (WHO's) *International classification of diseases, 10th Revision* (ICD-10)³ **underlying cause-of-death** codes X40–X44, X60–X64, X85, and Y10–Y14. Drug-specific poisoning deaths are the subset of drug overdose (poisoning) deaths with drug-specific **multiple cause-of-death** codes (i.e., T-codes). For the definitions of specific ICD-10 codes, see the section titled **Notes About Data Terms**. Each death certificate may contain up to 20 causes of death indicated in the multiple cause-of-death (MCO) field. Thus, the total count across drugs may exceed the actual number of dead persons in the selected population. Some deaths involve more than one drug; these deaths are included in the rates for each drug category.

As stated in its report, *Consensus Recommendations for National and State Poisoning Surveillance*, the Safe States Injury Surveillance Workgroup on Poisoning (ISW7)³ identified the limitations of using mortality data from NVSS to measure drug poisoning deaths:

³ The Safe States Alliance, a nongovernmental membership association, convened the Injury Surveillance Workgroup on Poisoning (ISW7) to improve the surveillance of fatal and nonfatal poisonings. Representation on the ISW7 included individuals from the National Center for Injury Prevention and Control (NCIPC), the National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS) at the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA), the Council of State and Territorial Epidemiologists (CSTE), the American Association of Poison Control Centers (AAPCC), the Association of State and Territorial Health Officials (ASTHO), the Society for the Advancement of Injury Research (SAVIR), state health departments, academic centers, the occupational health research community, and private research organizations.

Several factors related to death investigation and reporting may affect measurement of death rates involving specific drugs. At autopsy, toxicological lab tests may be performed to determine the type of legal and illegal drugs present. The substances tested for and circumstance in which tests are performed vary by jurisdiction. Increased attention to fatal poisonings associated with prescription pain medication may have led to changes in reporting practices over time such as increasing the level of substance specific detail included on the death certificates. Substance-specific death rates are more susceptible to measurement error related to these factors than the overall poisoning death rate. ([The Safe States Alliance, p. 63](#))⁴

Warner et al.⁵ found that there was considerable variation in certifying the manner of death and the percentage of drug intoxication deaths with specific drugs identified on death certificates and that these variations across states can lead to misleading cross-state comparisons. Based on 2008–2010 data, Warner et al.⁵ found that the percentage of deaths with an “undetermined” manner of death ranged from 1% to 85%. Comparing state-specific rates of “unintentional” or “suicidal” drug intoxication deaths would be problematic because the “magnitude of the problem will be underestimated in States with high percentages of death in which the manner is “undetermined.”⁵ The drug overdose (poisoning) deaths presented in the NDEWS tables include the various manner of death categories: unintentional (X40–X44); suicide (X60–X64); homicide (X85); or undetermined (Y10–Y14).

Based on 2008–2010 data, Warner et al.⁵ found that the percentage of drug overdose (poisoning) deaths with specific drugs mentioned varied considerably by state and type of death investigation system. The authors found that in some cases, deaths without a specific drug mentioned on the death certificate may indicate a death involving multiple drug toxicity. The **Percent of Drug Overdose (Poisoning) Deaths with Drug(s) Specified** statistic is calculated for each NDEWS SCS catchment area so the reader can assess the thoroughness of the data for the catchment area. This statistic is defined as drug poisoning deaths with at least one ICD-10 multiple cause of death in the range T36–T50.8.

Notes About Data Terms

Underlying Cause of Death (UCOD): The CDC follows the WHO’s definition of *underlying cause of death*: “[T]he disease or injury which initiated the train of events leading directly to death, or the circumstances of the accident or violence which produced the fatal injury.” Underlying cause of death is selected from the conditions entered by the physician on the cause-of-death section of the death certificate. When more than one cause or condition is entered by the physician, the underlying cause is determined by the sequence of condition on the certificate, provisions of the ICD, and associated selection rules and modifications. ([Click here for more information about CDC WONDER Multiple Cause of Death data](#))

Specific ICD-10 codes for underlying cause of death³ ([Click here to see full list of WHO ICD-10 codes](#))

X40: Accidental poisoning by and exposure to nonopioid analgesics, antipyretics, and antirheumatics.

X41: Accidental poisoning by and exposure to antiepileptic, sedative-hypnotic, antiparkinsonism, and psychotropic drugs, not elsewhere classified.

X42: Accidental poisoning by and exposure to narcotics and psychodysleptics [hallucinogens], not elsewhere classified.

X43: Accidental poisoning by and exposure to other drugs acting on the autonomic nervous system.

X44: Accidental poisoning by and exposure to other and unspecified drugs, medicaments, and biological substances.

X60: Intentional self-poisoning (suicide) by and exposure to nonopioid analgesics, antipyretics, and antirheumatics.

X61: Intentional self-poisoning (suicide) by and exposure to antiepileptic, sedative-hypnotic, antiparkinsonism, and psychotropic drugs, not elsewhere classified.

X62: Intentional self-poisoning (suicide) by, and exposure to, narcotics and psychodysleptics [hallucinogens], not elsewhere classified.

X63: Intentional self-poisoning (suicide) by and exposure to other drugs acting on the autonomic nervous system.

X64: Intentional self-poisoning (suicide) by and exposure to other and unspecified drugs, medicaments, and biological substances.

X85: Assault (homicide) by drugs, medicaments, and biological substances.

Y10: Poisoning by and exposure to nonopioid analgesics, antipyretics, and antirheumatics, undetermined intent.

Y11: Poisoning by and exposure to antiepileptic, sedative-hypnotic, antiparkinsonism, and psychotropic drugs, not elsewhere classified, undetermined intent.

Y12: Poisoning by and exposure to narcotics and psychodysleptics [hallucinogens], not elsewhere classified, undetermined intent.

Y13: Poisoning by and exposure to other drugs acting on the autonomic nervous system, undetermined intent.

Y14: Poisoning by and exposure to other and unspecified drugs, medicaments, and biological substances, undetermined intent.

Multiple Cause of Death: Each death certificate may contain up to 20 *multiple causes of death*. Thus, the total count by “any mention” of cause in the *multiple cause of death* field may exceed the actual number of dead persons in the selected population. Some deaths involve more than one drug; these deaths are included in the rates for each drug category. ([Click here for more information about CDC WONDER Multiple Cause of Death data](#))

Drug-specific ICD-10 T-codes for *multiple cause of death*³

([Click here to see full list of WHO ICD-10 codes](#))

Any Opioids (T40.0–T40.4 or T40.6) [T40.0 (Opium) and T40.6 (Other and Unspecified Narcotics)]

Heroin (T40.1)

Methadone (T40.3)

Natural Opioid Analgesics (T40.2)

Please note the ICD-10 refers to T40.2 as *Other Opioids*; CDC has revised the wording for clarity:

<http://www.cdc.gov/drugoverdose/data/analysis.html>

Synthetic Opioid Analgesics (T40.4)

Please note the ICD-10 refers to T40.4 as *Other Synthetic Narcotics*; CDC has revised the wording for clarity: <http://www.cdc.gov/drugoverdose/data/analysis.html>

Cocaine (T40.5)

Psychostimulants with Abuse Potential [excludes cocaine] (T43.6)

Cannabis (derivatives) (T40.7)

Benzodiazepines (T42.4)

Percentage of Drug Overdose (Poisoning) Deaths with Drug(s) Specified: Percentage of drug overdose (poisoning) deaths that mention the type of drug(s) involved, by catchment area. This statistic is defined as drug poisoning deaths with at least one ICD-10 multiple cause of death in the range T36–T50.8.

Population (used to calculate rates): The population estimates used to calculate the crude rates are bridged-race estimates based on Bureau of the Census estimates of total U.S., state, and county resident populations. The year 2010 populations are April 1 modified census counts. The year 2011–2014 population estimates are bridged-race postcensal estimates of the July 1 resident population. [Click here for more information about CDC WONDER Multiple Cause of Death data](#)

Age-Adjusted Rate: Age-adjusted death rates are weighted averages of the age-specific death rates, where the weights represent a fixed population by age. They are used to compare relative mortality risk among groups and over time. An age-adjusted rate represents the rate that would have existed had the age-specific rates of the particular year prevailed in a population whose age distribution was the same as that of the fixed population. Age-adjusted rates should be viewed as relative indexes rather than as direct or actual measures of mortality risk. The rate is adjusted based on the age distribution of a standard population allowing for comparison of rates across different sites. The year “2000 U.S. standard” is the default population selection for the calculation of age-adjusted rates. ([Click here for more information about CDC WONDER Multiple Cause of Death data](#))

5-Year Percent Change: Change in age-adjusted rate between 2010 and 2014.

Suppressed Data: As of May 23, 2011, all subnational data representing 0–9 deaths are suppressed (privacy policy). Corresponding subnational denominator population figures are also suppressed when the population represents fewer than 10 persons. ([Click here for more information about CDC WONDER Multiple Cause of Death data](#))

Unreliable Data: Estimates based on fewer than 20 deaths are considered unreliable and are not displayed. ([Click here for more information about CDC WONDER Multiple Cause of Death data](#))

Sources

Data Sources: Adapted by the NDEWS Coordinating Center from data taken from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics, *Multiple cause of death 1999–2014*, available on the CDC WONDER Online Database, released 2015. Data compiled in the *Multiple cause of death 1999–2014*

were provided by the 57 vital statistics jurisdictions through the Vital Statistics Cooperative Program. Retrieved between December 16, 2015 and February 9, 2016, from <http://wonder.cdc.gov/mcd-icd10.html>

Overview/Methods/Limitations Sources: Adapted by the NDEWS Coordinating Center from:

¹Center from Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics. (2015). *Multiple cause of death 1999–2014*. Retrieved December 16, 2015, from <http://wonder.cdc.gov/wonder/help/mcd.html>

²Goldberger, B. A., Maxwell, J. C., Campbell, A., & Wilford, B. B. (2013). Uniform standards and case definitions for classifying opioid-related deaths: Recommendations by a SAMHSA consensus panel. *Journal of Addictive Diseases, 32*, 231–243.

³World Health Organization (WHO). (2016). *International statistical classification of diseases and related health problems 10th Revision*. Retrieved March 14, 2016, from <http://apps.who.int/classifications/icd10/browse/2016/en>

⁴The Safe States Alliance. (2012). *Consensus recommendations for national and state poisoning surveillance*. Atlanta, GA: Injury Surveillance Workgroup 7.

⁵Warner, M., Paulozzi, L. J., Nolte, K. B., Davis, G. G., & Nelson, L.S. (2013). State variation in certifying manner of death and drugs involved in drug intoxication deaths. *Acad Forensic Pathol, 3*(2),231–237.

Availability Indicators

Drug Reports from the National Forensic Laboratory Information System (NFLIS)

Overview and Limitations

NFLIS systematically collects results from drug analyses conducted by state and local forensic laboratories. These laboratories analyze controlled and noncontrolled substances secured in law enforcement operations across the United States. The DEA describes NFLIS as:

“a comprehensive information system that includes data from forensic laboratories that handle the Nation’s drug analysis cases. The NFLIS participation rate, defined as the percentage of the national drug caseload represented by laboratories that have joined NFLIS, is currently over 97%. Currently, NFLIS includes 50 State systems and 101 local or municipal laboratories/laboratory systems, representing a total of 277 individual laboratories. The NFLIS database also includes Federal data from DEA and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) laboratories.”^a

Limitations. NFLIS includes results from completed analyses only. Drug evidence secured by law enforcement but not analyzed by laboratories is not included in the NFLIS database.

State and local policies related to the enforcement and prosecution of specific drugs may affect drug evidence submissions to laboratories for analysis.

Laboratory policies and procedures for handling drug evidence vary. Some laboratories analyze all evidence submitted to them, whereas others analyze only selected case items. Many laboratories do not analyze drug evidence if the criminal case was dismissed from court or if no defendant could be linked to the case.^a

Notes about Reporting Labs

Reporting anomalies were identified in several NDEWS SCSs in 2015 and are described below:

- ❖ **Denver Metro Area:** The Aurora Police Department laboratory’s last reported data are from July 2014, following the migration to a new laboratory information management system (LIMS).
- ❖ **San Francisco County:** The San Francisco Police Department (SFPD) laboratory has been closed since 2010; however, beginning in January 2012, the Alameda Sheriff Department laboratory began reporting their SFPD cases to NFLIS. All available data from the SFPD were included in the counts.
- ❖ **Texas:** The Austin Police Department laboratory closed, and no data were provided for 2015. The Houston Forensic Science Government Corporation (formerly Houston Police Department Crime Lab) lab was added in April 2014 and has been reporting data since then.

Notes about Data Terms

Drug Report: Drug that is identified in law enforcement items, submitted to and analyzed by federal, state, or local forensic labs and included in the NFLIS database. This database allows for the reporting of up to three drug reports per item submitted for analysis. The data presented are a total count of first, second, and third listed reports for each selected drug item seized and analyzed.

For each site, the NFLIS drug reports are based on submissions of items seized in the site's catchment area. The catchment area for each site is described in the Notes section below each table. The time frame is January–December 2015. Data were queried from the DEA's NFLIS Data Query System (DQS) on May 18, 2016 using drug item submission date.

Five new psychoactive substance (NPS) drug categories and Fentanyl are of current interest to the NDEWS Project because of the recent increase in their numbers, types, and availability. The five NPS categories are: synthetic cannabinoids, synthetic cathinones, piperazines, tryptamines, and 2C Phenethylamines.

Other Fentanyls are substances that are structurally related to fentanyl (e.g., acetylfentanyl and butyrl fentanyl).

A complete list of drugs included in the Other Fentanyl category that were reported to NFLIS during the January to December 2015 timeframe includes:

3-METHYLFENTANYL

ACETYL-ALPHA-METHYLFENTANYL

ACETYLFENTANYL

Beta-HYDROXYTHIOFENTANYL

BUTYRYL FENTANYL

P-FLUOROBUTYRYL FENTANYL (P-FBF)

P-FLUOROFENTANYL

Sources

Data Sources: Adapted by the NDEWS Coordinating Center from data provided by the U.S. Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA), Office of Diversion Control, Drug and Chemical Evaluation Section, Data Analysis Unit. Data were retrieved from NFLIS Data Query System (DQS) May 18, 2016.

Overview/Methods/Limitations Sources: ^aAdapted by the NDEWS Coordinating Center from U.S. Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA), Office of Diversion Control. (2016) *National Forensic Laboratory Information System: Midyear Report 2015*. Springfield, VA: U.S. Drug Enforcement Administration. Available at: https://www.nflis.deadiversion.usdoj.gov/DesktopModules/ReportDownloads/Reports/NFLIS_MidYear2015.pdf