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Synthetic cannabinoid (SC) metabolites are hard to test for and are constantly changing, making it difficult to understand their clinical effects in practice. Research findings have shown that even when patients report SCs as the drug ingested, the true substance may be unknown to the patient and adulterants or other substances may be present. To identify cases involving an SC, medical toxicologists talk with the patient and treating physician and review available medical records.

Between August 1, 2018 and January 31, 2019, 86 cases involving psychoactive substances were reported to ToxIC by medical toxicologists from across the United States. Ten (12%) cases were believed to involve SCs as the primary agent, all of which were used intentionally. The method of determination of synthetic cannabinoid use was not reported in the ToxIC Registry. The median age was 31.5 years, with a range of 16 to 52 years, and 90% of these cases involved males. The 10 cases occurred in 4 states, Texas (1), Indiana (1), New York (1), and Pennsylvania (7). Considerably abnormal vital signs included tachycardia (heart rate > 140), hypertension (systolic blood pressure > 200 or diastolic blood pressure > 120), and bradycardia (heart rate < 50). See Table 1 for a summary of clinical features. The most common symptom experienced was agitation (by 8 of 10). Fifty percent of patients required medical treatment for their synthetic cannabinoid toxicity; the remainder were observed without intervention. No deaths were reported.

Method
For this bulletin, the sentinel field was queried in January 2019 to identify synthetic cannabinoid cases reported between August 1, 2018 and January 31, 2019. The entire registry was then searched for substances identified by the detector, and all primary synthetic cannabinoid exposures were further searched for any additional novel cases not flagged by the detector. See the inaugural ToxIC Brief from October 2018 for a detailed description of information captured by the sentinel event detector for the ToxIC registry.

Selected Case Studies
Case studies for four cases selected to illustrate the variation in clinical presentation reported by medical toxicologists to the ToxIC registry between August 2018 and January 2019 are highlighted as follows.
Case 1: Male Age 44
A 44-year-old man used SCs to get high. In the emergency department (ED), he was agitated with altered mental status including delirium/psychosis. He was given benzodiazepines and intravenous fluid for his symptoms and admitted to the hospital floor. During his stay, his lab results were significant for an elevated troponin, suggesting myocardial injury/infarction. His EKG was also remarkable for prolonged QTc at 510 ms.

Case 2: Male Age 36
A 36-year-old man used SCs to get high. In the ED, his vital signs were significant for bradycardia (heart rate < 50). He did not require medical intervention for his symptoms.

Case 3: Male Age 32
A 32-year-old man used SCs to get high. In the ED, he was hypertensive (systolic blood pressure > 200 or diastolic blood pressure > 120), tachycardic (heart rate > 140), and agitated. He did not require medical intervention for his symptoms.

Case 4: Male Age 30
A 30-year-old man used SCs to get high. He was admitted to the intensive care unit (ICU). He experienced both agitation and central nervous system depression as well as respiratory depression during his ICU stay. He required intravenous fluid resuscitation for his symptoms.

Conclusions/Implications
The patients experienced a wide variety of clinical features impacting the cardiovascular, respiratory, musculoskeletal, and neurologic systems, as well as psychological state. Opposing clinical presentations (stimulatory versus depressant) were observed, both between patients and within single-patient presentations. Agitation, experienced by eight of the ten patients, was the most common symptom. The number of symptoms experienced ranged from one to four.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case 1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tachycardia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bradycardia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myocardial injury</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QT prolongation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agitation</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNS depression</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delirium/psychosis</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resp depression</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhabdomyolysis (muscle breakdown)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vomiting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL Symptoms</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Clinical Features in Ten Synthetic Cannabinoids Cases Identified by Medical Toxicologists and Reported to ToxIC, Aug. 2018–Jan. 2019
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SCs, often referred to as “spice” or “K2,” have been detected as drugs of abuse since the early 2000s but have grown in popularity in recent years. Although SCs, like marijuana, target the cannabinoid (CB) receptors, they do so with greater affinity, particularly for the CB1 receptor. The clinical effects of SCs, however, differ significantly from marijuana intoxication. As different chemical versions of SCs are continuously synthesized to evade detection, a wide variety of clinical presentations has emerged. Opposing cases of significant agitation and central nervous system depression, tachycardia and bradycardia, as well as respiratory depression and acute kidney injury have been reported in the literature.

SCs are not detected in routine urine drug testing, and newer versions can be missed even in more sophisticated testing, making it difficult to get a reliable understanding of their clinical effects in practice. Even when patients report SCs as the drug ingested, the true substance may be unknown to the patient and adulterants or other substances may be responsible for observed clinical effects. In recent analysis of self-reported SC ingestion, researchers often detected other drugs of abuse in addition to or in place of SCs. The findings from several reports with confirmatory analytic testing, however, show support for the variable nature of true SC toxicity noted in this publication.

In most cases, as in this series, supportive care is sufficient treatment and patients often recover without long-lasting sequelae. Outbreaks of clinically significant bleeding, however, have occurred in patients using SCs found to have coagulopathy (bleeding abnormality) on laboratory work-up. Analytic testing has resulted in the detection of superwarfarin adulterants, and bleeding deaths have occurred. No such cases were reported in this series. Clinicians should, however, maintain a high index of suspicion in patients reporting SC use who present with bleeding events.

Limitations
Toxic case accrual is limited to medical centers that have medical toxicologists on staff. Participating sites are in 21 states but do not represent a population-based sampling and cannot be used to produce prevalence estimates. The results are intended to be used for epidemiologic and descriptive purposes. Analytical confirmation is rarely obtained as such laboratory analysis is not generally part of the clinical consultation and rarely has impact on the treatment plan. Although all participating sites have been trained to use the sentinel event detector field, a drug that would be considered new or emerging by one investigator may be considered less novel by another. These results reflect a brief period of time and may not be representative of larger trends.
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